US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

008421

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Amitraz

Chromosomal Aberration Study (84-2b)

HED Project No.: 0-1570 TOX Chem No.: 374A

FROM:

Ray Landolt

Review Section I/ Toxicology Branch II

Health Effects Division (H7505C)

TO:

Dennis H. Edwards, Jr., PM 12 Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch

Registration Division (H7505C) IN France 6/19/91

THRU:

Mike Ioannou, Section Head

Review Section I Toxicology Branch II

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

and

Marcia van Gemert, Branch Chief

Toxicology Branch II

Health Effects Division (H7509C)

1 num (and 6/19/91

Registrant: Nor-Am Chemical Company, letter of February 21, 1991.

Action Requested: Review "Technical Amitraz: Metaphase Chromosomal Analysis of Human Lymphocytes Cultured in vitro" (MRID 41795101) submitted to fulfill the 84-2b mutagenicity data gap for amitraz.

> This study was submitted to satisify mutagenicity data gap identified in Toxicology review (DER 008177) of December 3, 1990 for an acceptable structural chromosomal aberration study.

Conclusions:

Amitraz is negative for clastogenic response in cultured human lymphocytes under nonactivated and S-9 activated conditions.

This study is acceptable and satisifies the mutagenic guideline data requirement (84-2b).

The toxicity data required for estimating the RfD are satisifed.

Toxicity Data Requirements for Technical Grade Amitraz

Amitraz is a FIFRA'88 List A chemical for which the Toxicology Chapter to the Registration Standard (DER 005633), issued February 1, 1985, identified the following data in support of food uses for amitraz. These studies were not subjected to current acceptance criteria for guideline data (158.135) requirments. This data base was evaluated by HED in consort with the California Department of Food and Agriculture March 2, 1989. HED (DER 007190) concluded that these studies are acceptable except for (84-2b) a Chromosomal Aberration study was identified as a data gap.

Acute Testing	Study No.	MRID/Acc No.	DER No.
81-1 Acute oral toxicity 81-2 Acute dermal toxicity 81-3 Acute inhalation toxicity 81-4 Primary eye irritation 81-5 Primary dermal irritation	TXM 73041 YM 72011 4971/72/406 TXM 72037 TXM 72011	00041539 00040862 00029963 00112879 00040862	001116 001123 001123 001123 001123
81-6 Dermal sensitization	PM 7101C	00029965	001125
Subchronic Testing	<i>4.</i>		* 9
82-1 90-day feeding-Rat Oral - Mouse Oral - Dog 82-2 21-day dermal	P 71548 TX 74016 P 71547 TX 73026	00028712 00028715 00028716 00029972	001124 001116 001124 001124
Chronic Testing			
83-1 Feeding/Carcinogenicity-Rat Two year dog feeding 83-2 Carcinogecicity- Mouse (80wk) - Mouse (2yr) *	TX 73043 TX 73035 TX 76039 TX/83/179-93	00044585 00044586 00044484 252098-102	001124 001124 001116 004252
83-3 Teratogenicity- Rat	TX 73028	00029959	001124
Rabbit 83-4 Reproduction-Rat	TX 73031 TX 73029 TX 73036	00029960 00029961 00029962	001124 001124 001124
Mutagenicity Testing			
84-2(a)Gene mutation 84-2(b)Chromosomal aberration * 84-4 Other genotoxic effects	2590 TX 88253 2634	253131 41795101 253131	004174 This Review 004174
Special Testing			
Hormone Levels-Female Mice Hormone Levels-Female Rats	FBC (M66) FBC 179-97 PM 72003 C 71019	253130 253131 253131 0041493	004175 004175 001116 005633

^{*} An acceptable study submitted after the Registration Standard was issued.

CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION
DOES NOT CONTAIN
NATIONAL SECURITY INEORMATION (EQ. 12265)

EPA No.: 68D80056

DYNAMAC No.: 371-A

TASK No.: 3-71A

June 12, 1991

008421

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

AMITRAZ

Mutagenicity--Mammalian Cells in Culture Cytogenetic Assay in Human Lymphocytes

APPROVED BY:

Robert J. Weir, Ph.D. Program Manager Dynamac Corporation

Signature: Jacin Filhunfor
Date: 6-17-91

Guideline Series 84: MUTAGENICITY

EPA No.: 68D80056 DYNAMAC No.: 371-A TASK No.: 3-71A June 12, 1991

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

AMITRAZ

Mutagenicity--Mammalian Cells in Culture Cytogenetic Assay in Human Lymphocytes

Nancy E. McCarroll, B.S. Principal Reviewer Dynamac Corporation	Signature: Namy S. McCaurll Date: 6-12-91
I. Cecil Felkner, Ph.D. Independent Reviewer	Signature:

Date:

APPROVED BY:

REVIEWED BY:

Nicolas P. Hajjar, Ph.D. Department Manager Dynamac Corporation

Dynamac Corporation

Ray Landolt, Ph.D. EPA Reviewer, Section I Toxicology Branch II (H-7509C)

Yiannakis M. Ioannou, Ph.D. D.A.B.T.
EPA Section Head, Section I Toxicology Branch II (H-7509C)

Signature:	Welleni	& Modella	1_
Date:	6-12-		107
Signature:	Layeuns	Cindell as	
	1	(•

6-12-91

DATA EVALUATION RECORD

Tox. Chem. No.:

EPA File Symbol:

CHEMICAL: Amitraz.

STUDY TYPE: Mutagenicity--Mammalian cells in culture cytogenetic assay in human lymphyocytes.

ACCESSION OR MRID NUMBER: 417951-01.

SYNONYMS/CAS NUMBER: N-methylbis(2,4-xylyliminomethyl)amine; N,N-di-(2,4-xylyliminomethyl)-methylamine.

SPONSOR: Schering Agrochemicals Ltd., Federal Republic of Germany.

TESTING FACILITY: Huntingdon Research Centre, Huntingdon Cambridgeshire, England.

TITLE OF REPORT: T300 Technical Amitraz Metaphase Chromosome Analysis of Human Lymphocytes Cultured in vitro.

AUTHORS: Brooker, P.C., Akhurst, L.C., and Gray, V.M.

STUDY NUMBER: TOX 88253.

REPORT ISSUED: November 22, 1988.

CONCLUSIONS - Executive Summary:

Under the conditions of the assay, three nonactivated (5, 10, and 20 μ g/mL) and three S9-activated (3, 15, and 30 μ g/mL) doses of amitraz technical failed to induce a clastogenic effect in cultured human lymphocytes. The highest nonactivated dose induced a moderate cytotoxic effect; under S9-activated conditions, the test material was assayed to the solubility limit. We conclude, therefore, that an appropriate range of nonactivated and S9activated doses were evaluated and that amitraz technical was found to be negative in this in vitro test system. The study, therefore, satisfies Guideline requirements for genetic effects Category II, Structural Aberrations.

Study Classification: The study is acceptable.

Recommendation: It is recommended that future in vitro human lymphocyte assays be conducted with replicate cultures from different donors or that separate experiments with cells from different donors be performed.

A. MATERIALS:

Test Material:

Name:

Amitraz technical. Description:

Fine, off-white crystalline powder.

Batch/Lot No.: CR 17612/4.

Purity: 99.5%

Contaminants: None listed.

Solvent used: Dry ethanol (ETOH).

Other comments: The test material was stored at

temperature protected from light humidity. The test material was dissolved

in ETOH immediately prior to use.

2. Control Materials:

Negative: None.

Solvent/concentration: ETOH/10 μ L/mL.

Positive: Nonactivation (concentrations, solvent): methanesulfonate (EMS) was prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide to yield a final concentration of 1000

μg/mL.

Activation (concentrations, solvent): Cyclophosphamide (CP) was prepared in distilled water to yield a final concentration of 20 μ g/mL.

3.	Activation: S9	derived from CD ma	ale Sprague-Dawl	ev
<u>x</u>	_ Arocior 1254	<u>x</u> induced	<u>x</u> rat	_x liver
+	_ phenobarbital	noninduced		lung
	_ none _ other	•	hamster	other
		• •	other	
mb - 4	70 1	•		

The S9 homogenate was prepared by the performing laboratory and was tested for its ability to metabolize 7,12-dimethyl benz(a)anthracene to a mutagen prior to use.

S9 mix composition:

Component	Volume
0.1 M NADP (sodium salt) 1.0 M Glucose 6-phosphate 0.4 M Magnesium chloride 0.2 M Disodium phosphate (pH 7.4) Distilled water S-9	0.04 mL 0.005 mL 0.02 mL 0.5 mL 0.335 mL 0.10 mL

4. Test Compound Concentrations Used:

a. Preliminary cytotoxicity assay: Ten doses (0.06, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.9, 1.9, 3.8, 7.5, 15.0, and 30.0 μ g/mL) were assayed with and without S9 activation for adverse effects on the mitotic index (MI).

b. Cytogenetic assay:

- 1) Nonactivated conditions: The three concentrations evaluated without S9 activation were 5, 10, and 20 μ g/mL.
- 2) S9-activated conditions: The three concentrations evaluated with S9 activation were 3, 15, and 30 μ g/mL.
- Test Cells: Human lymphocytes were collected and diluted with RPMI 1640 culture medium; no information on the donor was provided. Lymphocytes were separated on a Histopaque-1077 gradient, washed, resuspended at a density of 1 x 10° cells/mL in RPMI 1640 containing 2% phytohemagglutinin (PHA) and 20% fetal calf serum, dispensed in 1-mL volumes into multiwell culture dishes, and incubated at 37°C for ≈48 hours.

Properly maintained? Yes.

Cell line or strain periodically checked for mycoplasma contamination? Not applicable.

Cell line or strain periodically checked for karyotype stability? Not applicable.

B. TEST PERFORMANCE:

1. Cell Treatments:

- a. Cells exposed to test compound for:
 22 hours (nonactivated)
 2 hours (activated)
- Cells exposed to positive controls for:
 22 hours (nonactivated)
 2 hours (activated)
- c. Cells exposed to negative and/or solvent controls for:
 22 hours (nonactivated) 2 hours (activated)

2. Protocol:

a. Preliminary cytotoxicity assay: Duplicate cultures of PHA-stimulated lymphocytes were exposed to 10 nonactivated and 10 S9-activated concentrations of the test material (0.06 to 30.0 μ g/mL); four replicate cultures were exposed to the solvent (ETOH). Under nonactivated conditions, cells were exposed for 22 hours; in the presence of S9-activation, cells were dosed for 2 hours, rinsed, resuspended in fresh medium, and reincubated. Twenty-two hours posttreatment, colchicine (0.25 μ g/mL) was added. After 2 hours, metaphase cells were collected, treated with a hypotonic solution, fixed in acetic acid:methanol (1:3), and stained with Giemsa. The mitotic index (MI) was determined from 1000 cells scored from each culture.

b. Cytogenetic assay:

1) Treatment: Duplicate cultures, prepared described, were exposed to the selected nonactivated and S9-activated test material doses or the positive controls (1000 μ g/mL EMS -S9 or 20 μ g/mL CP +S9). Quadruplicate cultures were prepared for the nonactivated and S9-activated solvent control (ETOH). The assay was conducted as described for the preliminary cytotoxicity assay.

- Metaphase analysis: Slides were coded prior to scoring. One hundred metaphase cells/culture for the test and the control groups were scored for structural aberrations; MIs were determined.
- 3) <u>Statistical methods</u>: The data were evaluated at p <0.001 by Fisher's test.</p>
- 4) Evaluation criteria: No criteria to establish the validity of the assay or the biological significance of the results were provided.

C. REPORTED RESULTS:

- Preliminary Assay: The report indicated that final concentrations of the test material >30 μ g/mL were not soluble in culture medium; therefore, this level was considered to be the solubility limit of amitraz in this test system. Accordingly, 10 doses of the test material ranging from 0.06 to 30.0 μ g/mL +/-S9 were evaluated for adverse effects on the MI. In the absence of S9 activation, the two highest doses (15 and 30 μ g/mL) caused a marked reduction in mitotic cells compared to the solvent control. At these levels, the percentage MI was 6.1% at 15 μ g/mL and 3.7% at 30 μ g/mL. Below 15 μ g/mL, there was no appreciable effect on mitosis. MIs for cells exposed to all S9-activated doses of the test material were slightly higher than the solvent control. Based on these findings, the doses selected for the cytogenetic assay were 2, 10, and 20 μ g/mL -S9 and 3, 15, and 30 μ g/mL +S9.
- 2. Cytogenetic Assay: The report indicated that the highest nonactivated dose (20 μ g/mL) was excessively cytotoxic; therefore, the nonactivated cytogenetic assay was repeated with six doses (1, 5, 7.5, 10, 15, and 20 μ g/mL). Results for the initial assay were not presented. The study authors stated that in the repeat nonactivated test, sufficient metaphases were available for the analysis of cultures exposed to 20 μ g/mL; accordingly the 5-, 10-, and 20- μ g/mL treatment groups were evaluated for chromosome aberrations.

Representative results from the repeat nonactivated assay and the S9-activated assay are presented in Table 1. As shown, the MI for the highest nonactivated dose (20 μ g/mL) was lower than the control, indicating that this level induced a moderate cytotoxic effect. No chromosome aberrations were seen at this level or at the intermediate level (10 μ g/mL). However, one cell with >10 aberrations

TABLE 1. Representative Results of the Human Lymphocyte <u>in vitro</u> Cytogenetic Assay with Amitraz

					7	TO THE CALL OF THE ASSET WITH AMITTEE	/ With Amitraz	
Substance	Dose/mL	S9 Act · vation	No. of Cells Scored	Average Mitotic Index	Total Wo. of Aberra-	No. of ⁸ Cells with Aberrations	Percent [®] Cells with Aberrations	Biologicallyb Significant Aberrations (No./Type)
Solvent Control								
Ethanol	10 pt	•	700	11.3		-		•
	10 µl	+	700	19.0	5	0	6 6	
Positive Control						!	3	SIBE; TSM; GAF
Ethylmethane sulfonate	1000 #9	٠	200	d _{OM}	>132 ^C	38	*00.61	7218E 101- 458-
Cyclophosphamide	20 49	.	200	q _{QN} .	>192 ^c	8	46.00*	36AF; 1GT 61TBF; 77B; 16I;
Test Material						•		375H; 1R; 36AF; 3GT; 4P
Amitraz	20 µgq		200	6.3	•	0	0.00	
	30 µgq	+	200	20.0	Q	~	1.00	. X

Abbreviations used: Gaps excluded.

InterchangeR - RingGT - >10 Aberrations/cellPulverized cell 18f - Chromatid break with fragment 18 - Chromatid break without fragment SM - Single minute AF - Acentric fragment

ND - Not determined

Cyalue differs from the reported value; our reviewers counted GT as >10 aberrations, while the study authors counted GT as one aberration. daigheat assayed level; results for lower doses (5 and 10 µg/mL-S9 and 3 and 15 µg/mL +S9) did not suggest a clastogenic effect. "Significantly higher (p <0.001) that the solvent control as determined by Fisher's test.

was scored in the low-dose (5 μ g/mL) cultures. This finding in one of 200 cells was not considered by our reviewers to be sufficient evidence of potential clastogenicity.

In the presence of S9 activation, amitraz was neither cytotoxic nor clastogenic. By contrast, highly significant (p <0.001) increases in percentage of aberrant cells were scored from cultures exposed to the nonactivated (1000 μ g/mL EMS -S9) and the S9-activated (20 μ g/mL CP +S9) positive controls.

Based on the overall results, the study authors concluded that amitraz technical was not clastogenic in this <u>in</u> <u>vitro</u> test system.

D. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION/CONCLUSION:

We assess that the results of this study provide no indication that amitraz was clastogenic in this human lymphocyte cytogenetic assay. We further assess that the highest nonactivated dose induced a cytotoxic effect and that under S9-activated conditions, the test material was assayed to the limit of solubility.

In addition, the sensitivity of the test system to detect a clastogenic response was adequately demonstrated by the results achieved with the positive control.

We conclude, therefore, that technical amitraz was assayed over an appropriate range of nonactivated and S9-activated concentrations and failed to induce a clastogenic response.

Based on the limited information we assume that the lymphocyte cultures were derived from a single donor. Guidelines do not specifically require that human lymphocyte cytogenetic assays be conducted with replicate cultures from different donors or that separate assays with different donor cells be performed; however, this is a prudent and recommended approach.

- E. <u>QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES</u>: A quality assurance statement was signed and dated November 14, 1988.
- F. <u>CBI APPENDIX</u>: Appendix A, Materials and Methods, CBI pp. 12-15.

APPENDIX A

Materials and Methods (CBI pp. 12-15)